

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,280	09/07/2004	Shih-Chang Shei	10721-US-PA	5279	
31561	7590 03/22/2006	EXAMINER			
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			LE, DUNG ANH		
7 FLOOR-1, ROOSEVELT	NO. 100 ROAD, SECTION 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TAIPEI, 10	•	2818			
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

مريح
-

		Amaliantian	No	Applicant(s)			
		Application 10/711,280		SHEI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
	,	DUNG A. LI	=	2818			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS on Soft ime may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even inication. It days, a reply within the statute the condition will apply and will apply and will by statute cause the application.	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	which is the state of the merits is						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	tion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	e <u>r 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes a ction to the drawing(s) by the correction is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) No 3) Inf	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or per No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) r PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/711,280

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

In light of Amendment dated 10/25/2005, the previous office action is withdrawn. This is a new ground of rejection.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to for the following reason:

Figure 1a-1h should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Art Unit: 2818

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1- 8 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8 of copending application 10/711470. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Yang (2004/0092092).

Yang teaches bumping process of a LED device, comprises:

Application/Control Number: 10/711,280

Art Unit: 2818

providing a wafer 100/200 [0027] having a plurality of LED chips ["electronic elements" in 0027] thereon, wherein each of the LED chips comprises a plurality of electrodes 110/210; forming an UBM (under bump metallurgy) layer 130/230 on each of the electrodes 110/210; forming a plurality of posts 150 on the under bump metallurgy layers by a printing process [0007]; and reflowing the posts. (figs. 1-5E and related texts)

Regarding claim 2, further comprises disposing a pattern plate having a plurality of openings on the wafer before the printing process, wherein the UBM layers located on the electrodes are exposed by the openings of the pattern plate (figs 2A-2E).

Regarding claim 5, wherein a material of the solder posts 150 comprises Sn/Pb alloy [0007].

Regarding claim 6, wherein a material of the solder posts 130 is selected from the group consisting of tin (Sn) [0007], silver (Ag), copper (Cu) and alloys thereof.

Regarding claim 7, wherein the step of forming the UBM layers 130/230/330 comprises performing electroless plating [0005],[0036].

Regarding claim 8, wherein a material of the UBM layer 330 (fig. 5, [0036]) is selected from the group consisting of titanium (Ti), tungsten (W), chromium (Cr), nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), gold (Au) and alloys thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3- 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Yang (2004/0092092) in view of Lee (2002/0104449)/the following remark.

Yang teaches the claimed invention as applied to claim 1 except for wherein the filing the solder material into the openings of the pattern plate by a scraper as cited in current claim.

Lee teaches the filing the solder material 116/218 into the openings 112/212 of the pattern plate by a scraper 114/220 [0009] and [0022].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to Yang 's method, in order to obtain the best results in process of filling the opening.

Regarding claim 4, wherein after filling the solder material into the openings 141 of the pattern plate, the printing process further comprises removing the pattern plate to form the posts and the solder material in the openings turns into the plurality of the posts (figs. 2A-2D).

Art Unit: 2818

When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advice to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung A. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday 6:00am- 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The central fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUNG A. LE Primary Examiner Art Unit 2818